International Journal of Orthopaedics

Online Submissions: http://www.ghrnet.org/index./ijo/doi:10.6051/j.issn.2311-5106.2014.01.15

Int Journal of Orthopaedics 2014 August 23 1(2): 47-51 ISSN 2311-5106 (Print), ISSN 2313-1462 (Online)

ORIGINAL ARTICILE

Calcitonin Promotes Faster Healing of Spinal Fractures in Osteoporotic Patients

Thomas A Pagonis, Panagiotis K Givissis, Anastasios C Christodoulou

Thomas A Pagonis, Panagiotis K Givissis, Anastasios C Christodoulou, Trauma & Orthopaedic Department, Spinal Unit, The Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust, Heath Road, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP4 5PD, the United Kingdom

Thomas A Pagonis, 1st Orthopaedic Department of Aristotle's University of Thessaloniki, G.U.H.G.Papanikolaou, Thessaloniki, Hellenic Republic, Greece

Correspondence to: Thomas A Pagonis, 1st Orthopaedic Department of Aristotle's University of Thessaloniki, G.U.H.G.Papanikolaou, Thessaloniki, Hellenic Republic, Greece

Email: iatros1@yahoo.com Telephone: ++44 (0)7896360517

Received: May 26, 2014 Revised: June 17, 2014

Accepted: June 22, 2014

Published online: August 23, 2014

ABSTRACT

AIM: To delineate the effect of calcitonin on the healing of osteoporotic spinal fractures in non-operative treatment and compare it to another treatment for the same pathology (bisphosphonates) **METHODS:** This was a prospective double blind study. We

METHODS: This was a prospective double blind study. We evaluated data derived from the medical files of two comparable groups of osteoporotic patients: Group A (calcitonin + calcium) and Group B (bisphosphonate). Both groups were originally diagnosed with osteoporosis in the time of fracture and were both treated conservatively with a brace and osteoporotic medication. Radiography was used to evaluate fracture consolidation. Profile views of radiographical images were taken in the time of diagnosis and 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after that. CT was performed to evaluate bone union 6 and 12 months after surgery. QUALEFFO-41 was used as an evaluation scoring tool in order to appraise problems affecting day to day activity, access mobility issues and general well being.

RESULTS: Average periods of bone union in the calcitonin group were significantly shorter and at 12-month follow-up, the proportion of patients with bone union was better in the calcitonin group. Patients the calcitonin group were stopping pain medication earlier and they were discarding their braces sooner. T scores of group A at the end of the study follow up were statistically significant.

CONCLUSIONS: The implementation of Calcitonin shows a favorable outcome in spinal pathological fractures with an increase in fracture consolidation and improved quality of life.

© 2014 ACT. All rights reserved.

Key words: Osteoporosis; Osteoporotic fractures; Osteoporotic fractures treatment; Calcitonin; Nasal calcitonin

Pagonis TA, Givissis PK, Christodoulou AC. Calcitonin Promotes Faster Healing of Spinal Fractures in Osteoporotic Patients. *International Journal of Orthopaedics* 2014; 1(2): 47-51 Available from: URL: http://www.ghrnet.org/index.php/ijo/article/view/828

INTRODUCTION

With advancing age, abnormalities in the bone remodeling process (like net reduction in bone formation) change the bone's material properties as well as its structure^[1]. This coupled with increased bone turnover and bone resorbtion, accelerates progressive skeletal decay. This disparity between the volumes of bone formed and resorbed ultimately produces regions of stress that are susceptible to microdamage, potentially increasing fracture susceptibility and probability.

Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disease characterized by low bone mass and micro-architectural deterioration of bone tissue with a consequent increase in bone fragility and susceptibility to fracture^[2,3]. These fractures are associated with considerable morbidity and economic burden, particularly in developed countries. In 2000 alone there were an estimated 9 million osteoporotic fractures worldwide, resulting in a loss of 5.8 million disability-adjusted life-years^[4]. The incidence and costs of these fractures and their sequelae continue to rise with an ever aging population. Costs related to osteoporotic fractures in the United States alone are anticipated to reach \$25.3 billion by 2025^[5]. The most insidious osteoporotic fractures are the spinal ones, because of their gradual onset, frequently remaining clinically undetected, and seldomly being related to major traumatic events^[6].

In postmenopausal women, bone turnover increases dramatically and remains elevated for up to 40 years after cessation of ovarian function, leading to progressive loss of bone mass^[7,8]. Additional slower age-related bone loss affects elderly men and women both. This process yields osteoporotic bones vulnerable to fracture. Some of the factors that contribute to the decay of the aging skeleton -- eg, the increase in the rate of remodeling, the increased resorbtion, the decreased tissue mineral content -- are to some degree reversed by anti-resorbtive drugs and calcitropic Hormones like calcitonin^[9,10].

Calcitonin (also known as thyrocalcitonin) is a 32-amino acid linear polypeptide hormone that is produced in humans primarily by the parafollicular cells (also known as C-cells) of the thyroid^[11]. It acts to reduce blood calcium (Ca²⁺), opposing the effects of parathyroid hormone (PTH)^[12]. The hormone participates in calcium (Ca²⁺) and phosphorus metabolism. In its skeleton-preserving actions, calcitonin protects against calcium loss from skeleton. The calcitonin receptor, found on osteoclasts^[13], and in kidney and regions of the brain, is a G protein-coupled receptor, which is coupled by Gs to adenylate cyclase and thereby to the generation of cAMP in target cells. Calcitonin was extracted from the ultimobranchial glands (thyroid-like glands) of fish, particularly salmon. Salmon calcitonin resembles human calcitonin, but is more active. At present, it is produced either by recombinant DNA technology or by chemical peptide synthesis. The pharmacological properties of the synthetic and recombinant peptides have been demonstrated to be qualitatively and quantitatively equivalent[14]. Currently the substance comes in oral, injectable and nasal preparations. The usual treatment regimen for osteoporosis with nasal calcitonin is 100-200 IU per day.

METHODS

This was a prospective double blind study approved by the ethics committee of our institution. We evaluated data derived from the medical files of two comparable groups of osteoporotic patients, Group A included 21 patients suffering from osteoporotic spinal fractures that were treated with calcitonin 200 IU and a supplement containing calcium 500 mg+400 IU vitamin D on a daily basis and Group B comprised of 18 patients treated with biphosphonate alendronate 70 mg once per week and a supplement containing calcium 500 mg+400 IU vitamin D on a daily basis. Both were originally diagnosed with osteoporosis after a gradual onset of back pain and kyphosis that led to a radiological confirmation of collapsed vertebrae (osteoporotic fractures) and were treated for this pathology. All patients were instructed to abstain from use of non-steroid antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for the duration of the study and to only use paracetamol as pain medication. All patients were treated conservatively with a use of TLSO brace.

The time period investigated spanned 3 years starting from 2008 and ending in 2011. All patients included in the research were followed up for a minimum of 1.9 and a maximum of 2.5 years.

Patients that were diagnosed with other type of pathologic fractures (e.g. myeloma, metastasis) were excluded from the research. Patients that were already under treatment for osteoporosis and patients that underwent surgery were excluded from the research. Our cohort included new diagnosis of osteoporosis on the basis of a pathological fracture diagnosed radiologically, ensuing into a consequent positive DEXA scan. Dual Emition X-ray Absorbtiometry (DEXA) findings^[21] 15 were considered positive for a T score below the value of -2.5. The second parameter investigated was Treatment Efficacy which was expressed by T score sequences in a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 8 years follow up. We catalogued the initial T score on the date of diagnosis and a final T score on the date of the study completion.

Physicians performing the follow ups were considered to be

blinded to the patients treatment and group allocation. Physicians performing the statistics and evaluating the research data were also blinded to the treatment and only knew the group allocation but not its meaning.

We implemented the Quality of Life Questionnaire QUALEFFO-41 (International Osteoporosis Foundation, IOF, 10 December 1997) as an evaluation scoring tool in order to appraise problems affecting day to day activity, access mobility issues and general well being. The lower the QUALEFFO score the better the Quality Of Life. QUALEFFO consists of 5 domains, and scores can be calculated individually for each domain.

The validation of mobility and well being was instrumental in delineating possible difference in the quality of life of patients in our groups that could correlate to the clinical data collected. Radiography was used to evaluate fracture consolidation. Profile views of radiographical images were taken in the time of diagnosis and 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after that. CT was performed to evaluate bone union 6 and 12 months after surgery. In this study, we defined bone union as bone formation and remodeling of the involved vertebra without any further signs of collapse, as well as bridging of any displaced segments. Evaluation of bone union was blinded and performed by 3 surgeons. Fracture consolidation was used to define the period of bone union if at least 2 of the observers concurred.

All adverse events were reported together with an assessment of their severity (mild, moderate, or severe).

Statistical analysis of the data was performed using SPSS 13.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Values are presented as Mean±Standard Deviation. Comparison of was done by Mann-Whitney/Wilcoxon Two-Sample non-parametric Test (Kruskal-Wallis test for not normally distributed data). A critical *P* value of <0.05 was used for all hypothesis testing. All reported P values are two-sided.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of our cohort. There were no significant demographics differences between the two groups. There was no significant difference in the number of patients who had sustained fractures and the age of original diagnosis.

Average periods of bone union evaluated by radiographical imaging and by CT in the calcitonin group were significantly shorter than those in the bisphosphonates group (P<0.05; Table 2). At 12-month follow-up, the proportion of patients with bone union was 84% (evaluation by radiographical imaging) and 82% (CT) in the calcitonin group, and 74% and 68% in the bisphosphonates group, respectively. The rate of bone fusion evaluated by radiographical imaging and CT in the calcitonin group was significantly higher than that in the bisphosphonates group (P<0.05; Table 2).

Patients in group A were stopping pain medication earlier than their group B counterparts and they were discarding their braces sooner (Table 2). The T scores of group A patients at the end of the study follow up were statistically significantly improved compared to the same final values for patients in group B (Table 2).

Quality Of Life general score (mean) was 31.30 (range 7-82) for group A and 63.50 (range 20-97) for group B with the difference being statistically significant (p=0.000) in favour of the calcitonin group. This falls in line with previous studies that correlate the effect of calcitonin on pain and mobility. Patients in Group A were discarding their brace earlier than their group B counterparts exhibiting greater confidence in mobility and with their pain diminishing significantly earlier.

Adverse Effects. There were no adverse reactions effects/events in either group.

Table 1 Demographic, stratification a	ication and research data. Fracture categorization according to severity and location.		
Stratification	Cohort	Group A	Group B
Invividuals	39	21 (53.9% of cohort)	18 (46.1% of cohort)
Gender	37 female (94.9%);	20 female (95.2% of group)	17 female (94.4% of group)
Gender	2 male (5.1%)	1 male (4.8% of group)	1 male (5.6% of group)
Age on diagnosis [mean] (range)	62.81 (38-91)	62.94 (38-91)	61.18 (44 - 82)
Fracture sites total (n)	45	25 (55.6% of group)	20 (44.4% of group)

Stratification	Group A	Group B	<i>p</i> value
Invividuals	21 (53.9% of cohort)	18 (46.1% of cohort)	
Age on diagnosis [mean] (range)	62.94 (38-91)	61.18 (44 - 82)	0.133
T score baseline (range)	-3.28 (-2.48 to -5.68)	-3.59 (-2.56 to -5.24)	0.000
T score final (range)	-2.78 (-2.20 to -5.30)	-3.11 (-2.50 to -4.30)	0.000
Fracture sites total n	25 (55.6% of group)	21 (44.4% of group)	0.128
Lumbar Fractures	9	6	
Thoracic Fractures	16	15	
Time to consolidation (weeks) [mean] (range)	9.1 (8.2 - 13.5)	12.8 (9.1 - 20.3)	0.000
Brace discarded (weeks) [mean] (range)	8.9 (7.4 - 11.3)	14.1 (10.2 - 21.5)	0.000
Pain medication stopped (weeks) [mean] (range)	6.1 (5.5 - 8.2)	11.2 (9.9 - 19.8)	0.000
Quality of life score [mean] (range)	31.30 (7 - 82)	63.50 (20 - 97)	0.000

DISCUSSION

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Consensus Development Conference on Osteoporosis in 2000 recognized the role of both bone mass and other factors that affect bone strength^[16]. The conference characterized osteoporosis by reduced bone strength, which reflects both bone density and bone quality. Further, bone quality is influenced by a number of variables, including turnover, damage accumulation, and mineralization, as well as architecture and geometry.

Osteoporosis usually involves more than just one vertebra that exhibit reduced structural strength and is one of the more common causes of vertebral collapse in the spine. This leads to osteoporotic vertebral fractures that usually have a gradual onset, frequently remain clinically undetected, and seldomly will they be related to traumatic events^[17].

Osteoporotic vertebrae display "sub-optimal" bone architecture^[18], leading to an uneven load distribution. Compared to the architecture of a healthy vertebra, trabeculae of osteoporotic vertebrae are less numerous, thinner, sparse and more axially oriented (at the cost of transverse orientation structure) making them particularly vulnerable to unusual multidirectional loading. Moreover, longer and thinner trabeculae are more vulnerable to buckling and more readily perforated by osteoclasts^[19]. With increased vulnerability to buckling being extremely important for high loads, this biomechanical model explains the reduced compression strength osteoporotic vertebrae exhibit. This is also supported by the suggestion that fractures of osteoporotic vertebrae may be caused by loads that are higher than normal and also caused by infrequent loads in unusual directions. Pollintine et al^[20] used stress profilometry to study the load shift between the two columns of osteoporotic vertebrae and proved it to be significant.

The load distribution between the different cancellous and cortical parts of the vertebral body is also affected by osteoporosis. The contribution of the cancellous mass (spongiosa) in load transfer depends strongly on the location within the vertebra^[21]. Close to the endplates, the spongiosa does most of the load bearing, but towards the centre of the vertebra the load becomes more evenly distributed, the main reason being the architecture within the spongiosa. Moving from the endplates toward the centre of the vertebra, the shell curves

inward, while simultaneously the outer trabeculae angle from the endplate towards the shell, resulting in the mid-transverse shell "collecting" the load from the outer trabeculae. The contribution to load support of the spongiosa is larger in the healthy vertebra than in the osteoporotic one^[22].

There is a lifelong, strong relationship between bone morphology and physiological or external loading throughout life^[23,24]. Vertebral fractures may originate from actions like forward flexion, lifting, and exertion of loads that may not be "physiological" but are also not normally traumatic^[25]. The aforementioned changes in pathologic vertebrae architecture may lead to an increased vulnerability.

Calcitonin is a widely used medicament in osteoporotic patients that is easily administered through the nasal route. It has been on the market since the end of the original studies in the late 70's^[26] and it is a very cost effective treatment with the lowest cost in all countries produced. Moreover, the use of nasal calcitonin is patient friendly, easily followed and the patient response ratios are excellent^[27]. No severe adverse effects have been associated with its use^[27].

There have been numerous studies investigating its efficacy in osteoporosis and fracture pain and several studies that investigate its effectiveness in fracture healing in in-vitro and animal models both^[28-32]. Very few reports of its actual fracture healing effect in humans exist and these are mostly case reports^[33-38]. Nonetheless, the use of calcitonin as an easily followed osteoporotic treatment in conjunction with previous studies in animals, deems a thorough look into its bone healing effect needed.

It has been suggested that bone neuropeptides can act as direct regulators of osteoblastic function^[39]. Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) has been identified as the causative neuropeptide for callus angiogenesis and it has been proven that vascularization plays an important role in callus maturation^[40,41]. Calcitonin's action in fracture healing is promoted by the indirect positive response of vascular endothelium cells, monocytes and histiocytes^[40,41]. Calcitonin also contributes to the production of other promotive substances of bone matrix, like neutral proteases^[40,41].

In some clinical studies it has been established that there is a clinical and radiological improvement in patients with recent fractures of the peripheral skeleton, acceleration in the formation of radiologically visible callus and clinical improvement especially in Paget's disease patients with multiple fractures^[42-45]. Calcitonin

Pagonis TA et al. Calcitonin in Spinal Fractures

has also been used in clinical settings of patients with injuries of the musculoskeletal system, such as incorporation of bone grafts after local injections of calcitonin, restoration of bone cysts after dental extractions and an improvement in delayed fracture healing after local administration of calcitonin in patients with neglected fractures^[45-48].

Our study was looking into the effect of calcitonin on fracture consolidation in an elderly population suffering from osteoporotic vertebral fractures that were not treated operatively. The statistically significant differences in the time of fracture consolidation, brace discard and pain improvement show great promise although should be considered carefully and warrant further study. The results of our study should be a pointer for further pilot studies better delineating the effect of calcitonin on fracture healing.

Limitations to our study are the small number of our cohort. Nonetheless, we should point out that this is the biggest cohort that has been investigated for a similar reason. The inclusion of spinal fractures only is another limitation and a possible research that would look into the effect of calcitonin in different fracture sites might be the next step forwards.

Our study suggests that the use of calcitonin attenuates the healing of fractures in the spine in a quicker and more favorable way than bisphosphonates. Moreover, the improvement in quality of life, mobility and the lack of adverse effects make this a promising suggestion. This might be used as a clinical indication for further studies that will result in a possible treatment guideline.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

There are no conflicts of interest with regard to the present study.

REFERENCES

- Dempster D, Benzaia D. Rapid Fracture Protection in the Treatment of Osteoporosis. Clinical Review June 2003
- NAMS. Management of Osteoporosis in Postmenopausal Women: 2010 Position Statement of The North American Menopause Society. *Menopause*. 2010; 17(1): 25-54
- Boonen S, Kay R, Cooper C, Haentjens P, Vanderschueren D, Callewaert F, Milisen K, Ferrari S. Osteoporosis Management: A Perspective Based on Bisphosphonate Data From Randomised Clinical Trials and Observational Databases. *Int J Clin Pract CME*, December 2009; 63(12): 1792-1804
- 4 Johnell O, Kanis JA. An estimate of the worldwide prevalence and disability associated with osteoporotic fractures. *Osteoporos Int* 2006; 17: 1726-1733
- Burge R, Dawson-Hughes B, Solomon DH, Wong JB, King A, Tosteson A. Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosisrelated fractures in the United States, 2005-2025. J Bone Miner Res 2007; 22: 465-475
- Pagonis T, Givissis P, Pagonis A, Petsatodis G, Christodoulou A. Osteoporosis onset differences between rural and metropolitan populations: correlation to fracture type, severity, and treatment efficacy. J Bone Miner Metab 2012; 30: 85-92
- 7 Manolagas SC. Birth and death of bone cells: basic regulatory mechanisms and implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of osteoporosis. *Endocr Rev* 2000; 21: 115-137
- 8 Garnero P, Sornay-Rendu E, Chapuy M-C, Delmas PD. Increased bone turnover in late menopausal women is a major determinant of osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* 1996; 11: 337-349
- 9 Cadarette SM, Gignac MA, Jaglal SB, Beaton DE, Hawker GA. Access to osteoporosis treatment is critically linked to access to dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry testing. *Med Care* 2007; 45: 896-901

- Wasnich RD, Bagger YZ, Hosking DJ, McClung MR, Wu M, Mantz AM, Yates JJ, Ross PD, Alexandersen P, Ravn P, Christiansen C, Santora AC 2nd. Changes in bone density and turnover after alendronate or estrogen withdrawal. *Menopause* 2004; 11: 622-630
- 11 Costoff A. "Sect. 5, Ch. 6: Anatomy, Structure, and Synthesis of Calcitonin (CT)". Endocrinology: hormonal control of calcium and phosphate. Medical College of Georgia. Retrieved 2008-08-07
- 12 Boron WF, Boulpaep EL (2004). "Endocrine system chapter". Medical Physiology: A Cellular And Molecular Approach. Elsevier/Saunders. ISBN 1-4160-2328-3.
- 13 Rhoades, Rodney (2009). Medical Physiology: Principles for Clinical Medicine. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. ISBN 978-0-7817-6852-8
- Hirsch PF, Gauthier GF, Munson PL. "Thyroid hypocalcemic principle and recurrent laryngeal nerve injury as factors affecting the response to parathyroidectomy in rats". *Endocrinology* 1963; 73 (2): 244-252. doi:10.1210/endo-73-2-244. PMID 14076205
- 15 Cadarette SM, Gignac MA, Jaglal SB, Beaton DE, Hawker GA. Access to osteoporosis treatment is critically linked to access to dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry testing. *Med Care* 2007; 45: 896-901
- Berlemann U, Jeszenszky DJ, Bühler DW, Harms J. Facet joint remodeling in degenerative spondylolisthesis: an investigation of joint orientation and tropism. *Eur Spine J* 1998; 7: 376-80
- Homming J., Van-Rietbergen B., Lochmu" ller E.M., Weinans H., Eckstein F., Huiskesa R. The osteoporotic vertebral structure is well adapted to the loads of daily life, but not to infrequent "error" loads. *Bone* 2004; 34: 510-516
- 18 Cotten A, Dewatre F, Cortet B, Assaker R, Leblond D, Duquesnoy B, Chastanet P, Clarisse J. Percutaneous vertebroplasty for Osteolytic metastases and myeloma: Effects of the percentage of lesion filling and the leakage of methylmethacrylate at clinical follow-up. *Radiology* 1996; 200: 525-30
- 19 Deramond H, Depriester C, Galibert P, Le Gars D. Percutaneous vertebroplasty with polymethylmethacrylate: Technique, indications and results. *Radiol Clin North Am* 1998; 36: 533-46
- 20 Van-der-Linden JC, Verhaar JAN, Weinans H. A three-dimensional simulation of age-related remodeling in trabecular bone. J Bone Miner Res 2001; 16: 688-96
- 21 Pollintine P, Dolan P, Tobias JH, Adams MA. Intervertebral disc degeneration can lead to "stress-shielding" of the anterior vertebral body: a cause of osteoporotic vertebral fracture? *Spine* 2004; 29: 774-782
- Tran de QH, Duong S, Finlayson RJ. "Lumbar spinal stenosis: a brief review of the nonsurgical management". *Can J Anaesth* 2010; **57(7)**: 694-703. doi:10.1007/s12630-010-9315-3. PMID 20428988.
- 23 Kleinman DM (2006-01-04). "Oral Calcitonin May Delay Onset of Joint Disease and Relieve Pain of OA". Musculoskeletal Report. Musculoskeletal Report, LLC. Retrieved 2008-08-07.
- 24 Singer BR, McLauchlan GJ, Robinson CM, Christie J. Epidemiology of fractures in 15,000 adults. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1998; 80B: 243-248
- 25 Kanis JA, Johnell O, Oden A, Sembo I, Redlund-Johnell I, Dawson A, De Laet C, Jonsson B. Long-term risk of osteoporotic fracture in Malmo. *Osteoporos Int* 2000; 11: 669-674
- 26 Karsdal MA, Henriksen K, Arnold M, Christiansen C. Calcitonin: a drug of the past or for the future? Physiologic inhibition of bone resorption while sustaining osteoclast numbers improves bone quality. *BioDrugs*. 2008; 22(3): 137-44
- 27 Henriksen K, Bay-Jensen AC, Christiansen C, Karsdal MA. Oral salmon calcitonin--pharmacology in osteoporosis. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2010; **10(11)**: 1617-29. doi: 10.1517/14712598.2010.526104. Epub 2010 Oct 11.
- 28 Mrak E, Guidobono F, Moro G, Fraschini G, Rubinacci A, Villa

- I. Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) inhibits apoptosis in human osteoblasts by β -catenin stabilization. *J Cell Physiol* 2010 Nov; **225(3)**: 701-8. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22266.
- 29 Liu Y, Fan Y, Cao D, Zhang J, Wu Z, Qiu G. Calcitonin enhanced lumbar spinal fusion in a New Zealand rabbit model: a study with morphologic and molecular analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012; 37(3): E139-46. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e31822ba535.
- 30 Chang CL, Park JI, Hsu SY. Activation of calcitonin receptor and calcitonin receptor-like receptor by membrane-anchored ligands. *J Biol Chem* 2010; 285(2): 1075-80. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.020040. Epub 2009 Nov 10
- 31 Tuo Y, Guo X, Zhang X, Wang Z, Zhou J, Xia L, Zhang Y, Wen J, Jin D. The biological effects and mechanisms of calcitonin gene-related peptide on human endothelial cell. J Recept Signal Transduct Res 2013; 33(2): 114-23. doi: 10.3109/10799893.2013.770528. Epub 2013 Mar 6.
- 32 Karsdal MA1, Byrjalsen I, Henriksen K, Riis BJ, Lau EM, Arnold M, Christiansen C. The effect of oral salmon calcitonin delivered with 5-CNAC on bone and cartilage degradation in osteoarthritic patients: a 14-day randomized study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2010; 18(2): 150-9. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2009.08.004. Epub 2009 Sep 1
- 33 Foye PM, Shupper P, Wendel . Coccyx fractures treated with intransal calcitonin. *Pain Physician*.2014; 17(2): E229-33
- 34 Darakchiev BJ, Bulas RV, Dunsker SB. Use of calcitonin for the treatment of an odontoid fracture. Case report. *J Neurosurg* 2000; 93(1 Suppl): 157-60
- 35 Webb KM, Elias WJ. Calcitonin and spinal fusion. J Neurosurg 2001; 94(2 Suppl): 338-9
- 36 Esses SI, McGuire R, Jenkins J, Finkelstein J, Woodard E, Watters WC 3rd, Goldberg MJ, Keith M, Turkelson CM, Wies JL, Sluka P, Boyer KM, Hitchcock K. The treatment of symptomatic osteoporotic spinal compression fractures. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2011; 19(3): 176-82
- 37 Sadiq Z, Goodger NM. Calcitonin-induced osteoplastic reaction in the mandible. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2011; **49(7)**: 578-9. doi: 10.1016/j.bjoms.2010.08.008. Epub 2010 Sep 21
- 38 Lee HJ, Kim SY, Kim GS, Hwang JY, Kim YJ, Jeong B, Kim TH, Park EK, Lee SH, Kim HL, Koh JM, Lee JY. Fracture, bone

- mineral density, and the effects of calcitonin receptor gene in post-menopausal Koreans. *Osteoporos Int* 2010; **21(8)**:1351-60. doi: 10.1007/s00198-009-1106-8. Epub 2009 Nov 28.
- 39 Konttinen Y, Imai S, Suda A. Neuropeptides and the puzzle of bone remodeling. State of the art. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1996; 67: 632-639
- 40 Hukkanen M, Konttinen YT, Santavirta S, Nordsletten L, Madsen JE, Almaas R, Oestreicher AB, Rootwelt T, Polak JM. Effect of sciatic nerve section on neural ingrowth into the rat tibial fracture callus. *Clin Orthop* 1995; 311: 247-257
- 41 Bjurholm A, Kreicbergs A, Dahlberg L, Schultzberg M. The occurrence of neuropeptides at different stages of DBM-induced heterotopic bone formation. *Bone Miner* 1990; 10: 95-107
- 42 Verinder DGR, Burke J. The management of fractures in Paget's disease of bone. *Injury* 1980; 10:276-280
- 43 Calistri A. La calcitonina in ortopedia e traumatologia. C1 Terap 1982; 100: 613-619
- 44 Melanotte PL, Caira S. Salmon calcitonin effect in proximal femur fracture repair in elderly patients. *Curr Ther Res* 1986; 39: 449-454
- 45 Knize DM. The influence of periosteum and calcitonin on onlay bone graft survival. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1974; 53: 190-199
- 46 De Bastiani G. Local effects of calcitonin in bone calcification. In: Pecile A (ed) Calcitonin 1980, Excerpta Medica Int Cong Ser 540, 1981; 307-313
- 47 Lyritis GP, Tsakalakos N, Magiasis B, Karachalios T, Yiatzides A, Tsekoura M. Analgesic effect of salmon calcitonin in osteoporotic vertebral fractures: A double blind placebo-controlled clinical study. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1991; 49: 369-372
- 48 Pagonis T, Ditsios K, Christodoulou A, Petsatodis G. Outcome of surgical treatment for complicated humeral shaft fractures in elderly osteoporotic patients. *Journal of American Geriatrics Society* 2012; 60(4): 795-796

Peer reviewers: Ahmet Eroglu, Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, 61000, Turkey; Pauline Siew Mei Lai, Senior Lecturer, Department of Primary Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.