Journal of

Gastroenterology and Hepatology Research

Online Submissions: http://www.ghrnet.org/index./joghr/ doi:10.6051/j.issn.2224-3992.2013.02.217

Journal of GHR 2013 March 21 2(3): 445-448 ISSN 2224-3992 (print) ISSN 2224-6509 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Percent Body Fat Measured by Bioelectrical Impedance is not **Associated with Colorectal Adenoma Status**

David J Frantz, Seth D Crockett, Joseph A Galanko, Robert S Sandler

David J Frantz, Seth D Crockett, Joseph A Galanko, Robert S Sandler, Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7080, the United States

Correspondence to: Seth D Crockett, MD, MPH, 4152 Bioinformatics Bldg. CB# 7080, Chapel Hill NC, 27599, the United States. sethc@med.unc.edu

Telephone: +01-(919) 843-2638 Fax: +01-(919) 966-6842 Received: October 10, 2012 Revised: November 6, 2012

Accepted: November 8, 2012 Published online: March 21, 2013

ABSTRACT

AIM: Obesity is a risk factor for colorectal neoplasia. Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) is a novel and convenient method to measure body fat mass. The correlation between BIA and adenoma risk is unknown. We aimed to conduct a cross-sectional study to evaluate BIA and other measures of obesity as risk factors for adenomas.

METHODS: Participants underwent screening colonoscopy between 2006 and 2008. Waist-hip ratio (WHR) and body mass index (BMI) were measured. Percent body fat was calculated by BIA using a proprietary scale. Physical activity and other risk factors were assessed by telephone interview.

RESULTS: 255 patients with adenomas and 679 non-adenoma controls were included. Increased age, male sex, and decreased physical activity were associated with adenoma prevalence. In multivariate analysis, WHR and BMI were independently associated with adenoma prevalence. Patients in the highest tertile of WHR had an OR of 2.0 (95% CI 1.2-3.2) compared to the lowest tertile. Obese white patients had significantly increased odds of having adenomas [OR 2.0 (95% CI 1.3, 3.2)] compared to whites with a normal BMI. Percent body fat measured by BIA was not associated with adenoma status: patients in the highest tertile of percent body fat had an OR of 1.0 (95% CI 0.7-1.6) compared to patients with the lowest tertile.

CONCLUSIONS: Percent body fat calculated by BIA was not associated with adenoma prevalence. Although BIA is a quick and convenient measure of adiposity, it is not predictive of adenoma risk perhaps because it measures the amount of fat but not the distribution.

© 2013 ACT. All rights reserved.

Key words: Bioelectrical Impedance analysis; Adipose tissue (MeSH); Colonic Neoplasms (MeSH); Body fat distribution (MeSH)

Frantz DJ, Crockett SD, Galanko JA, Sandler RS. Percent Body Fat Measured by Bioelectrical Impedance is not Associated with Colorectal Adenoma Status. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Research 2013; 2(3): 445-448 Available from: URL: http:// www.ghrnet.org/index./joghr/

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignancy among men and women in the United States^[1]. Despite a decrease in incidence in recent decades, it is estimated that there will be 143 460 new CRC cases and 51 690 deaths in 2012^[2,3]. Variations in geographical CRC prevalence rates and migration patterns suggest that environmental and lifestyle exposures are important risk factors that influence the risk for colorectal neoplasia. Epidemiologic studies suggest that factors such as increased body mass index (BMI), lack of physical activity, central adiposity and high fat diets are all independent, modifiable, risk factors for colorectal cancer and colorectal adenomas, the precursors to most cancers^[4].

Obese patients consistently exhibit a higher prevalence of colonic adenomas than non-obese controls^[5,6]. Despite the increased risk in obese individuals as a group, there is still considerable unexplained variation in risk between obese individuals. This might be explained by variations in individual body composition. The distribution and the composition of body fat, particularly visceral adiposity, is more predictive of complications from metabolic syndrome than weight alone^[7], and this may be the case for adenomas as well. Indeed, some studies have shown a connection between visceral adiposity and colorectal adenomas[8,9].

Unfortunately, visceral adiposity is difficult to measure. BMI measurements remain the standard by which obesity is defined, but BMI poorly correlates with true body composition^[10]. Most techniques used to measure body composition such as crosssectional imaging or plethysmography are limited to clinical trials. Traditional anthropometric measures such as waist-hip ratio (WHR) measurements are feasible to obtain but cumbersome, time-consuming, and may be embarrassing for patients. Recently, electronic scales able to measure both weight and body composition by way of bioelectrical impedance (BIA) have become available. These scales make it possible to obtain a potentially more sensitive measure of adiposity without adding significant cost or time. Of interest is that some studies have shown a link between certain BIA measurements and CRC risk^[11,12], but to our knowledge, percent body fat measured by BIA measures has never before been examined as a predictor of adenoma risk.

The Diet and Health Study V (DHS-V) was a cross-sectional study designed to study environmental and lifestyle factors associated with colorectal adenomas. We hypothesized that percent body-fat measured by BIA might be a better predictor of adiposity and therefore, adenoma risk than other anthropometric measures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Overview and Population

The DHS-V was one of a series of cross-sectional studies designed to assess environmental and lifestyle factors associated with the presence of colorectal adenomas. The study methods have been published in detail elsewhere^[13-15], but are summarized here in brief. All patients referred for screening colonoscopy at the University of North Carolina (UNC) Hospitals during the years of 2006-2008 were eligible to participate. UNC Hospitals is an academic medical center with a large catchment area serving a diverse population. Inclusion criteria included all participants between the ages of 30 and 80 with a satisfactory preparation for colonoscopy and a complete exam to the cecum. Exclusion criteria included participants with a history of a prior colonoscopy, any patients with a history of a previous colon resection or a diagnosis of polyposis, colitis, or CRC. Following colonoscopy, additional data was collected via telephone interview (see below).

Eligible participants for this study were those who had a complete colonoscopy with available anthropometric data. From the eligible participants, cases were designated as individuals with one or more adenomatous polyp identified after pathology review. Controls were subjects without adenomas, but could have had hyperplastic polyps only.

The UNC School of Medicine Institutional Review Board approved the study. The STROBE guidelines for reporting descriptive observational studies were followed^[16].

Data Collection

Patients scheduling a screening colonoscopy were mailed a brochure describing the study and the possibility that they would be selected to participate at the time of their procedure. On the day of the scheduled exam, potential participants were recruited by a study coordinator prior to colonoscopy, at which time the participant's height, weight, waist and hip circumference and percent body fat



Figure 1 Tanita TBF-300WA scale used for bioelectrical impedance analysis and measurement of percent body fat in this study. Image courtesy of Tanita Corporation.

were measured. Percent body fat was obtained using a Tanita® model TBF-300WA scale (Arlington Heights, Illinois) (Figure 1). The participant's gender, age, and height were entered into the scale and while the patient was being weighed, an imperceptible electrical current was passed from one foot to the other foot through the body via electrical contact pads incorporated into the base of the scale. The resulting electrical impedance was measured in ohms, and the scale then used the measured impedance to calculate percent body fat using a proprietary regression algorithm that incorporated age, weight, height and sex. Study patients then underwent screening colonoscopy per standard protocol.

Within 12 weeks of colonoscopy, each patient received a phone call from a trained interviewer who was blinded to the colonoscopy results. The interviewer collected information on diet, bowel habits, physical activity, age, sex, race, smoking history, alcohol use, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use, laxative use and other co-morbidities.

BMI was calculated as kg/m² and further categorized by obesity status per the World Health Organization classification system; normal (BMI<25 kg/m²), overweight (BMI≥25 kg/m² to <30 kg/m²), and obese (BMI≥30 kg/m²). Waist and hip circumference were measured in centimeters and reported as a ratio ('waist-hip ratio' or WHR) that was then divided into tertiles for statistical analysis. Percent body fat was reported as the proportion body fat mass to total body mass and divided into tertiles. Physical activity was estimated using the validated International Physical Activity Questionnaire and reported as metabolic equivalents minutes per week (MET-mins/week) and categorized into tertiles of activity^[17].

Statistical Analysis

Bivariate analysis was performed using chi-square or t-tests to assess the association between adenoma status and the following measures: percent body fat, BMI, WHR, weight, physical activity, height, race, sex and age. Multivariable analysis was then performed using logistic regression to explore the relationship of the three main measures of adiposity; percent body fat, BMI and WHR, and their association with adenoma status. These three models controlled for potential confounders including age, sex, race and physical activity. Since the measure of percent body fat by BIA was dependent on age and sex, it was inappropriate to control for these factors in the adjusted model. Therefore, percent body fat was adjusted for only race and physical activity. Effect measure modification was assessed using likelihood ratio tests. If effect measure modification was identified, the analysis was then stratified by the effect measure modifier. A further exploratory analysis was performed using logistic regression to determine which factors were best associated with case status. Factors not meeting the 10% change-in-estimate approach were dropped. All tests of significance were two-tailed and p-values < 0.05 were considered significant. All data were entered into and analyzed using Stata 12.0 statistical software (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Characteristics of Cases and Controls

A total of 934 patients (255 cases and 679 adenoma-free controls) had a complete colonoscopy and were available for analysis. Cases were older than controls, and more likely to be male (Table 1). On average, cases were 8.6 pounds heavier, 0.7 inches taller, had a higher BMI and a larger WHR. In addition, cases reported a significantly lower level of physical activity. Percent body fat was not associated with adenoma status in bivariate analysis.

Table 1 Characteristics of Study Population.

, I		
	Adenoma-free	Adenoma cases
	controls (n=679)	(n=255)
	Mean \pm SE or n (%)	Mean \pm SE or n (%)
Age	54.9 ± 0.2	56.6 ± 0.5^{1}
Male	241 (39%)	$122 (51\%)^1$
Race		
White	525 (89%)	202 (87%)
Black	65 (11%)	29 (13%)
Height (inches)	67.1 ± 0.2	67.8 ± 0.3^{1}
Weight (pounds)	172.3 ± 1.6	180.9 ± 2.4^{1}
Percent Body Fat ²	30.3 ± 0.4	30.7 ± 0.7
Waist/Hip Ratio	0.901 ± 0.003	0.929 ± 0.005^{1}
BMI (kg/m^2)	26.9 ± 0.2	27.8 ± 0.4^{1}
Physical Activity (MET-mins/week)	2.535 ± 104	2.237 ± 152^{1}
Tertile 1	187 (31%)	92 (39%)
Tertile 2	205 (34%)	74 (32%)
Tertile 3	211 (35%)	68 (29%)

 1p \leqslant 0.05; 2 as measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis; BMI: Body mass index; MET: metabolic equivalent of task.

Table 2 Odds Ratios (95% CI) of Adenoma Risk by Demographic, Anthropometric and Lifestyle Factors.

Predictor	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Age (per 10 year increase)	1.5 (1.2, 1.9)	
Male	1.6 (1.2, 2.1)	
Race	, ,	
White	1.0	
Black	1.2 (0.7, 1.9)	
Height (3 inch increments)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	
Weight (per 10 lbs. increments)	1.05 (1.02, 1.09)	
Percent Body Fat by BIA		
Tertile 1 (referent)	1.0	1.0 1
Tertile 2	0.8 (0.5, 1.2)	$0.7 (0.5, 1.1^{1})$
Tertile 3	1.1 (0.8, 1.6)	1.0 (0.7, 1.5) 1
Waist-hip Ratio		
Tertile 1 (referent)	1.0	1.0 ²
Tertile 2	1.5 (1.0, 2.2)	1.5 (1.0, 2.3) ²
Tertile 3	2.2 (1.5, 3.3)	$2.0 (1.3, 3.3)^{2}$
BMI by Race		
Whites		
BMI < 25 (referent)	1.0	1.0 ³
BMI 25 to <30	1.9 (1.3, 2.8)	$1.7(1.2, 2.5)^3$
BMI >30	1.8 (1.2, 2.9)	$2.0(1.3, 3.2)^3$
Blacks		
BMI < 25	3.3 (1.2, 8.9)	$3.8 (1.3, 10.6)^3$
BMI 25 to <30	1.9 (0.8, 4.5)	$1.8 (0.7, 4.4)^3$
BMI >30	1.2 (0.6, 2.4)	$1.3 (0.7, 2.6)^3$
Physical Activity		
Tertile 1 (referent)	1.0	1.0 4
Tertile 2	0.7 (0.5, 1.1)	$0.7 (0.5, 1.0)^4$
Tertile 3	0.7 (0.5, 1.0)	$0.6 (0.4, 0.9)^4$

¹ Adjusted for race and physical activity; ² Adjusted for age sex race and physical activity; ³ Adjusted for age sex and physical activity; ⁴ Adjusted for age sex and race; BIA: bioelectrical impedance analysis; BMI: Body mass index

Percent Body Fat, BMI, WHR, Physical Activity and Colorectal Adenomas

Logistic regression was used to calculate unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios for adenoma risk based on demographic and personal characteristics (Table 2). There was no association between tertiles of percent body fat as measured by BIA and adenoma status. In contrast, there was a significant relationship between case status and WHR, BMI and physical activity. The odds of having an adenoma doubled for patients in the highest tertile of WHR. Patients in the highest tertile of physical activity had almost half the odds of having adenomas compared to patients in the lowest tertile. Significant interaction was found between BMI and race. We therefore, stratified BMI results by race. Using whites with a normal BMI (<25 kg/m²) as the referent for all comparisons, both overweight and obese white patients had significantly increased odds of having adenomas. In

contrast, black patients with a normal BMI had 3.8 (95% CI; 1.3-10.6) times the odds of white patients with a normal BMI when adjusted for age, sex and physical activity. When overweight and obese blacks were compared to whites with a normal BMI, the odds of adenoma were increased, but these results were not statistically significant.

Factors Most Strongly Associated with Adenoma Status

Exploratory models were created to determine which factors were most strongly associated with adenoma status. Case status was modeled with a logistic regression model and included the independent variables: age, sex, physical activity, weight, and race and WHR. BMI was excluded because it is a construct of height/ weight² and interacts with race. Percent body fat was excluded because it was calculated using a proprietary equation which incorporated impedance, age, sex, and weight. Using the Wald test, all non-significant terms were dropped from the model. The final model showed that WHR adjusted for age was most strongly associated with adenoma status. For every 0.1 increase in WHR the odds of having an adenoma increased by 1.6 (95% CI; 1.3-2.0).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge this is the first study to evaluate the relationship between percent body fat as measured with bioelectrical impedance using a commercially-available scale and adenoma status. Contrary to our expectation, percent body fat as measured by leg to leg BIA was not significantly associated to adenoma status. Both the control and case groups had equivalent percent body fat. In contrast, other anthropometric measures of adiposity such as weight, WHR, and BMI were statistically associated with adenoma status.

Obesity has been shown to be a risk factor for both colorectal adenomas and cancer. However, not all obese individuals exhibit the same risk. In this study, we hypothesized that percent body fat might be a more sensitive and convenient measure to identify patients at higher risk for adenomatous polyps. The ability to measure body composition using BIA has appeal because of its ease of use and acceptability to patients. Other methods require expensive equipment or are time-consuming. Scales with the capability of measuring body composition using BIA while a patient is being weighed add little extra time.

It is unclear why percent body fat did not correlate with adenoma status in this study. Other measures of adiposity such as weight, BMI and WHR correlated with case status as we had expected. Perhaps the BIA measurements and/or the proprietary equation used resulted in inaccurate estimates of percent body fat. Bipedal devices such as that used in this study may be less accurate than tetrapolar and octapolar BIA instruments, particularly for body fat measurements^[18]. However, this and similar devices have demonstrated accuracy for estimating body composition and body fat in validation studies [19,20]. BIA is a variable measure that can be affected by age, exercise, and fluid shifts^[21-23]. It is possible, for example, that BIA measurements were influenced by the polyethylene glycol colon lavage solution ingested by patients in preparation for colonoscopy. It is also possible that the path of the electrical current from one leg to the other does not accurately assess abdominal and visceral adiposity, and it is the fat distribution that is the most important determinant of adenoma $risk^{[8,9]}$.

In summary, bioelectrical impedance measures of body composition did not correlate with adenoma status in this study as we had hypothesized. In contrast, BMI, WHR and physical activity did correlate with adenoma status, which is a consistent finding from previous studies. Waist-hip ratio measurements appeared to be most

closely correlated with adenoma status and this supports the concept of abdominal and visceral adiposity as a significant modifiable risk factor for adenoma formation. It is important to continue to explore additional ways of measuring abdominal and visceral adiposity and their relationship to colon adenoma status and colorectal cancer. However, our results suggest that percent body fat as measured by bioelectric impedance, as performed in this study, does not correlate with adenoma risk. Therefore, this technique has limited usefulness in colorectal neoplasia research or risk stratification.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

David Frantz, MD, MS: Analysis and interpretation of data, Statistical Analysis, Drafting of the manuscript; Seth Crockett, MD, MPH: Analysis and interpretation of data, Critical Revision of Manuscript; Robert Sandler, MD MPH: Study Concept and Design, Data Acquisition, Analysis and Interpretation of Data, Critical Revision of Manuscript, and Funding; Joseph Galanko, PhD: Statistical Analysis, Critical Revision of Manuscript. Supported by Grants: P30 DK34987, R01 CA44684, T32 DK07634.

REFERENCES

- Cancer Facts & Figures 2012. In: Society AC, ed. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society, 2012: 58.
- Cancer Facts & Figures 2011. In: Society AC, ed. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society, 2011: 58.
- Siegel R, Ward E, Brawley O, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2011: the impact of eliminating socioeconomic and racial disparities on premature cancer deaths. CA Cancer J Clin 2011; 61: 212-236
- Giovannucci E. Modifiable risk factors for colon cancer. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2002; 31: 925-943
- Martin C, Connelly A, Keku TO, Mountcastle SB, Galanko J, Woosley JT, Schliebe B, Lund PK, Sandler RS. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, apoptosis, and colorectal adenomas. Gastroenterology 2002; 123: 1770-1777
- Keku TO, Lund PK, Galanko J, Simmons JG, Woosley JT, Sandler RS. Insulin resistance, apoptosis, and colorectal adenoma risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005; 14: 2076-2081
- Matsuzawa Y. Establishment of a concept of visceral fat syndrome and discovery of adiponectin. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci 2010; 86: 131-141
- Nam SY, Kim BC, Han KS, Ryu KH, Park BJ, Kim HB, Nam BH. Abdominal visceral adipose tissue predicts risk of colorectal adenoma in both sexes. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010; 8: 443-50.e1-2
- Otake S, Takeda H, Suzuki Y, Fukui T, Watanabe S, Ishihama K, Saito T, Togashi H, Nakamura T, Matsuzawa Y, Kawata S. Association of visceral fat accumulation and plasma adiponectin with colorectal adenoma: evidence for participation of insulin resistance. Clin Cancer Res 2005; 11: 3642-3646
- 10 Ode JJ, Pivarnik JM, Reeves MJ, Knous JL. Body mass index as a predictor of percent fat in college athletes and nonathletes. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2007; 39: 403-409
- MacInnis RJ, English DR, Hopper JL, Haydon AM, Gertig DM, Giles GG. Body size and composition and colon cancer risk in men. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004; 13: 553-559
- 12 MacInnis RJ, English DR, Haydon AM, Hopper JL, Gertig DM, Giles GG. Body size and composition and risk of rectal

Guilera M, Connelly-Frost A, Keku TO, Martin CF, Galanko J, Sandler RS. Does physical activity modify the association

cancer (Australia). Cancer Causes Control 2006; 17: 1291-1297

- between body mass index and colorectal adenomas? Nutr Cancer 2005; 51: 140-145
- Vinikoor LC, Schroeder JC, Millikan RC, Satia JA, Martin CF, Ibrahim J, Galanko JA, Sandler RS. Consumption of transfatty acid and its association with colorectal adenomas. Am J Epidemiol 2008; 168: 289-297
- 15 Austin GL, Adair LS, Galanko JA, Martin CF, Satia JA, Sandler RS. A diet high in fruits and low in meats reduces the risk of colorectal adenomas. J Nutr 2007; 137: 999-1004
- Vandenbroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Mulrow CD, Pocock SJ, Poole C, Schlesselman JJ, Egger M. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. Ann Intern Med 2007; 147: W163-W194
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Sallis JF, Oja P. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003; 35: 1381-1395
- Bosy-Westphal A, Later W, Hitze B, Sato T, Kossel E, Gluer CC, Heller M, Muller MJ. Accuracy of bioelectrical impedance consumer devices for measurement of body composition in comparison to whole body magnetic resonance imaging and dual X-ray absorptiometry. Obes Facts 2008; 1: 319-324
- Wang JG, Zhang Y, Chen HE, Li Y, Cheng XG, Xu L, Guo Z, Zhao XS, Sato T, Cao QY, Chen KM, Li B. Comparison of two bioelectrical impedance analysis devices with dual energy X-ray absorptiometry and magnetic resonance imaging in the estimation of body composition. J Strength Cond Res 2013; 27: 236-243
- Ling CH, de Craen AJ, Slagboom PE, Gunn DA, Stokkel MP, Westendorp RG, Maier AB. Accuracy of direct segmental multi-frequency bioimpedance analysis in the assessment of total body and segmental body composition in middle-aged adult population. Clin Nutr 2011; 30: 610-615
- Mally K, Trentmann J, Heller M, Dittmar M. Reliability and accuracy of segmental bioelectrical impedance analysis for assessing muscle and fat mass in older Europeans: a comparison with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Eur J Appl Physiol 2011; 111: 1879-1887
- 22 Knechtle B, Wirth A, Knechtle P, Rosemann T, Rüst CA, Bescós R. A comparison of fat mass and skeletal muscle mass estimation in male ultra-endurance athletes using bioelectrical impedance analysis and different anthropometric methods. Nutr Hosp 2011; 26: 1420-1427
- Dixon CB, Ramos L, Fitzgerald E, Reppert D, Andreacci JL. The effect of acute fluid consumption on measures of impedance and percent body fat estimated using segmental bioelectrical impedance analysis. Eur J Clin Nutr 2009; 63:

Peer reviewers: Hong-Hong(Helen) Zhu, MD, PhD, MHS, MSc, Adjunct Faculty, Department of Health Administration, Public Health and Gerontology, School of Public Service Leadership, Capella University, Baltimore, the United States. Lizong Shen, Associate Professor, Division of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Department of General Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, 300 Guangzhou Road, Nanjing, 210029, China.