## Journal of

### Gastroenterology and Hepatology Research

Online Submissions: http://www.ghrnet.org/index./joghr/ doi: 10.6051/j.issn.2224-3992.2012.02.040

Journal of GHR 2012 March 21 1(2): 20-26 ISSN 2224-3992 (print) ISSN 2224-6509 (online)

**EDITORIAL** 

## Management of Portal Hypertension in Children: A Focus on **Variceal Bleeding**

Mortada H.F. El-Shabrawi, Mona Isa, Naglaa M. Kamal

Mortada H.F. El-Shabrawi, Mona Isa, Naglaa M. Kamal, Pediatric Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Ali Ibrahim Street,

El-Mounira, 11559 Cairo, Egypt

Supported by the researchers as employees of Cairo University Correspondence to: Mortada H.F. El-Shabrawi, 3 Nablos Street, off Shehab Street, Mohandesseen, Cairo 12411,

Egypt. melshabrawi@medicine.cu.edu.eg

Telephone: +202 3572 1790 Fax: +202 3761 9012 Received: January 9, 2012 Revised: March 4, 2012

Accepted: March 7, 2012

Published online: March 21, 2012

### **ABSTRACT**

Treatment of the primary cause of many chronic liver diseases (CLDs) may not be possible and serious complications like portal hypertension (PH) must be prevented or controlled enabling the child with CLD to live with a good quality of life. Early detection of PH is achieved by history taking, examination, imaging techniques as well as esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD). Primary prevention of first episode of variceal hemorrhage involves use of non-selective β-blocker (NSBB) and rubber band endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL). Management of acute variceal bleeding includes effective resuscitation, prompt diagnosis, control of bleeding and prevention of complications. Prevention of secondary variceal hemorrhage is through a combination of EVL plus pharmacological therapy, other therapies include surgical porto-systemic shunt (PSS) and Meso-Rex bypass. The goal of this review is to highlight the pediatrician role in management of variceal bleeding in children with PH in order to improve their survival and avoid its life-threatening complications..

© 2012 Thomson research. All rights reserved.

Key words: Chronic liver disease; Portal Hypertension

El-Shabrawi MHF, Isa M, Kamal NM. Management of Portal Hypertension in Children: A Focus on Variceal Bleeding. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Research 2012; 1(2): 20-26 Available from: URL: http://www.ghrnet.org/index./joghr/

### INTRODUCTION

Portal hypertension (PH) is characterized by prolonged elevation of the portal venous pressure [(PVP) the normal = 2-5 mm Hg]. Minor elevations of the PVP (6-10 mm Hg) do not result in esophageal varices,

but higher pressures may. Variceal hemorrhage may occur when PVP exceeds 12 mm Hg<sup>[1]</sup>.

PH associated with chronic liver disease (CLD) poses distinctive risks, including luminal gut bleeding, ascites and hepatic encephalopathy. PH can also be present in the absence of CLD in the setting of portal vein obstruction (PVO). A major cause of cirrhosis-related morbidity and mortality is the development of variceal hemorrhage, a direct consequence of portal hypertension. Variceal hemorrhage may be lethal, although effective interventions have resulted in a threefold decrease in mortality over the past three decades. In one study mortality between 1980 and 2000 decreased from 9% to 0% in Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) class A patients, from 46% to 0% in CTP B patients and from 70% to 30% in CTP C patients<sup>[2]</sup>. Much of this improvement has resulted from more effective interventions before, during and after a bleeding episode<sup>[3]</sup>.

### CLASSIFICATION AND ETIOLOGY OF PH

PH is classified based on the anatomical location into extrahepatic, intrahepatic and posthepatic (Table 1). Extrahepatic PH is caused by increased resistance in the extrahepatic portal vein, and is associated with mural or intraluminal obstruction (e.g., congenital atresia or fibrosis, thrombosis, neoplasia) or extraluminal compression<sup>[4]</sup>. Intrahepatic PH is caused by increased resistance in the microscopic portal vein tributaries, sinusoids, or small hepatic veins. Intrahepatic PH is further classified by hepatic anatomical level into presinusoidal, sinusoidal, and postsinusoidal PH (Table 1)<sup>[5]</sup>. Presinusoidal PH occurs because of increased resistance in the terminal intrahepatic portal vein tributaries, while sinusoidal intrahepatic PH is most often the result of fibrotic hepatopathies [6,7]. Postsinudoidal intrahepatic PH is associated with veno-occlusive disease (also called sinusoidal obstruction syndrome). Veno-occlusive disease is caused by damage to the sinusoidal endothelium and hepatocytes in the centrilobular region, resulting in obliteration of the small terminal hepatic veins and central veins by fibrosis. Posthepatic obstruction is seen in Budd-Chiari syndrome, right heart failure and cardiac tamponade. The Budd-Chiari syndrome occurs with obstruction to the sublobular and big hepatic veins anywhere between the efferent hepatic veins and the entry of the inferior vena cava into the right atrium<sup>[5]</sup>.

### PATHOGENESIS OF PH

Vasoreactivity such as vasoconstriction in hepatic circulation and vasodilation in systemic circulation plays a major role in pathophysiology of PH<sup>[8]</sup>. Vascular structural changes including vascular remodeling and angiogenesis have been identified as additional important compensatory processes for maintaining and aggravating portal hypertension<sup>[9]</sup>. Vascular remodeling is an adaptive response of the vessel wall that occurs in response to chronic changes in the environment such as shear stress<sup>[10]</sup>. Angiogenesis promoted through both proliferation of endothelial and smooth muscle cells also occurs as response to increased pressure and flow.

that accompanies PH. This vasodilatation results in pooling of blood in the abdomen, which leads to a decrease in effective systemic blood volume. Initially, increased cardiac output is compensatory, establishing the hyperdynamic circulation of hepatic disease marked by high cardiac output and low systemic vascular resistance<sup>[12]</sup>. As liver disease progresses, vasodilators that escape hepatic degradation accumulate in the systemic circulation and systemic arteriolar vasodilatation worsens.

Table 1 Classification and Etiology of Portal Hypertension.

Extrahepatic (Prehepatic or Infrahepatic)	Intrahepatic	Posthepatic (Suprahepatic)
-Portal vein obstruction (atresia, agenesis, stenosis)	- Presinusoidal	-Budd-Chiari syndrome
-Portal vein thrombosis	Congenital hepatic fibrosis	-Right sided heart failure
-Splenic vein thrombosis	Schistosomiasis	-Cardiac tamponade
-Increased portal flow	Acute and chronic hepatitis	
-Arteriovenous fistula	- Sinusoidal	
	Cirrhosis	
	Wilsons disease	
	Alpha1-Antitrypsin deficiency	
	Glycogen storage disease	
	Hepatotoxicity	
	-Postsinusoidal	
	Veno-occlusive disease	

### COMPLICATIONS OF PH

#### Collateral circulation

The development of portosystemic shunts and collateral circulation is a compensatory response to decompress the portal circulation and reduce the PH, but unfortunately contributes to significant morbidity and mortality. Vasodilation of pre-existing collateral vessels results in increased collateral blood flow and volume. They are mainly found in the lower esophagus causing varices, rectal mucosa causing hemorrhoids, and anterior abdominal wall causing caput medusa (Figure 1). The mechanism of collateral vessel regulation still remains unclear. The control of collateral circulation could be a key in managing complications of PH, therefore, extensive experimental studies are performed in this field<sup>[11]</sup>.



Figure 1 Caput medusa in a 6-year old boy with portal hypertension due to congenital hepatic fibrosis.

### Ascities

Ascites occurs as a consequence of imbalances in Starling's law so that the forces keeping fluid in the vascular space are less than the forces moving fluid out of the vascular space<sup>[12]</sup>. In PH, increased PVP drives fluid into the interstitial space. When the capacity of the regional lymphatics is overwhelmed, ascites develops. The development of ascites is perpetuated by the splanchnic vasodilatation

Eventually, inotropic and chronotropic compensation fails, and systemic hypotension ensues. This results in activation of the endogenous vasopressor system, including the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, sympathetic neurons, and the nonosmotic release of antidiuretic hormone (ADH). Resultant volume expansion further increases hydrostatic pressure in the portal vasculature causing increased lymph formation<sup>[13]</sup>. Concurrent hypoalbuminemia secondary to hepatic synthetic failure lowers vascular colloid osmotic pressure that furthers aggravates ascites formation<sup>[13]</sup>.

### **Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis**

Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis is infection of ascitic fluid without a detectable nidus<sup>[14]</sup>. It occurs in 8-30% of hospitalized cirrhotic human patients with ascites, with an associated mortality of 20-40% if untreated<sup>[14]</sup>. Many patients are asymptomatic, but clinical signs can include abdominal pain, fever, and diarrhea. A neutrophil count 250 cells/mm³ in the ascitic fluid is diagnostic, regardless of whether or not organisms are visible cytologically<sup>[15]</sup>.

### Hepatorenal syndrome

Another consequence of the hyperdynamic circulatory derangements associated with PH is hepatorenal syndrome. This syndrome, a form of reversible renal failure, occurs as a consequence of profound renal vasoconstriction secondary to the release of angiotensin, norepinephrine, and ADH in response to splanchnic vasodilatation<sup>[16]</sup>. The syndrome is always accompanied by a state of refractory ascites and end-stage liver failure<sup>[17]</sup>.

## Hepatopulmonary syndrome, portopulmonary hypertension, and hepatic hydrothorax

Hepatopulmonary syndrome, portopulmonary hypertension, and hepatic hydrothorax are pulmonary complications of PH<sup>[18]</sup>. Hepatopulmonary syndrome occurs because of microvascular pulmonary arterial dilatation (most likely because of nitric oxide overproduction in the lung) leading to ventilation-perfusion mismatch<sup>[18]</sup>. Portopulmonary hypertension is likely mediated by humoral substances that enter the systemic circulation through multiple acquired portosystemic shunts (MAPSS)<sup>[19]</sup>. Initially, these substances cause vasoconstriction, but subsequent thrombosis leads

to vessel obliteration. Hepatic hydrothorax is the presence of pleural effusion in patients with hepatobiliary disease. It likely arises because of direct passage of ascites from the abdomen to the thorax through undetectable diaphragmatic rents<sup>[19]</sup>.

### Hepatic Encephalopathy (HE)

HE is a syndrome of neurocognitive impairment that clinically manifests as a range of signs from subtle behavioral deficits to stupor and coma<sup>[20]</sup>. The pathogenesis is multifactorial, and associated with toxins derived from the gastrointestinal tract that bypass hepatic metabolism. Ammonia derived primarily from the action of colonic bacteria on the breakdown products of ingested protein is one of the most important toxins. Ammonia, which is normally transported to the liver via the portal circulation where it is metabolized in the urea cycle, directly enters the systemic circulation through MAPSS. The excess blood ammonia penetrates the blood brain barrier and causes neuronal dysfunction by incompletely understood mechanisms<sup>[21]</sup>.

### Hypersplenism

The presence of splenomegaly in children with PH can lead to hypersplenism. Hypersplenism is associated with pooling of blood in the spleen, destruction of blood cells by the enlarged spleen, or both. The clinical consequence is pancytopenia<sup>[22]</sup>.

### **Portal Hypertensive Gastropathy**

The gastric mucosal lesions associated with portal hypertensive gastropathy are present in 51-98% of patients with PH. Histologically, this gastropathy is defined by mucosal and submucosal vascular ectasia in the absence of inflammation. Similar lesions can be found in the small and large bowel. Many factors including alterations in splanchnic blood flow, humoral factors, and local dysregulation of vascular tone have been implicated in the pathophysiology. Portal hypertensive gastropathy increases the risk for acute and chronic gastrointestinal bleeding<sup>[23]</sup>.

### THE DIAGNOSIS AND EVALUATION OF PH

PH is identified by a thorough history and physical examination. The history should focus on identifying factors that predispose the child to developing portal hypertension, such as family history of metabolic liver disease, personal history of hypercoagulable state, or history of umbilical vein instrumentation or abdominal infection. On examination, the majority of children with portal hypertension will have an enlarged spleen, unless other anomalies are present, such as asplenia or polysplenia (which can be seen in biliary atresia). Occasionally, ascites is present if the cause of portal hypertension is intrahepatic. The liver may be enlarged, but often is small and shrunken, and thus is an unreliable physical finding. Portal congestion can be seen rarely on physical examination as external or internal hemorrhoids and caput medusa (Figure 1). Imaging studies can also help confirm the presence of portal hypertension, including ultrasound with Doppler, contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)<sup>[24]</sup>. Ultrasound can demonstrate heterogeneity of the liver texture in CLD, and Doppler examination provides information about portal vein patency and directionality of flow, both of which are important in the diagnosis and management of portal hypertension<sup>[24]</sup>. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) is the best mode to screen for esophageal and gastric varices and should be done once PH is suspected. However, McKiernan et al<sup>[25]</sup> showed that endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) was superior to visual examination by EGD in detecting early gastroesophageal varices in children with intestinal failure-associated liver disease. Nevertheless, EGD has been important in detecting features associated with increased likelihood of bleeding such as large tense varices, red spots, and red wale markings; information that is crucial for initiating treatment of an identified bleeding site<sup>[26]</sup>.

### TREATMENT OF VARICEAL HEMORRHAGE

### Primary prophylaxis of variceal hemorrhage

Since varices per se cause no symptoms, strategies to detect them are required. It is well accepted that almost all cirrhotics should be screened for the presence of esophageal varices at the time of diagnosis and at intervals afterwards. Those with severe liver impairment and endoscopic stigmata such as red wale signs should undergo yearly surveillance. EGD remains the most reliable way of detecting varices and affords the possibility of management at the time of diagnosis. Newer techniques for looking at the esophageal varices such as trans-nasal and capsule endoscopy may have a future role. The availability of measuring liver stiffness either by ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging technology holds a promise in excluding a significant number of cirrhotics from the need for endoscopy, as low liver stiffness correlates quite well with a hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) < 10 mm Hg<sup>[27]</sup>.

To date, primary prevention of varices in cirrhotics remains elusive. Limited evidence fails to demonstrate a role for non-selective β-blocker (NSBB) therapy in preventing the formation of esophageal varices in cirrhotics<sup>[28]</sup>. Other innovative strategies remain to be developed. Two therapies are currently accepted in the primary prevention of the first episode of variceal hemorrhage, namely NSBBs and rubber band endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL), other modalities as endoscopic injection sclerotherapy and various portosystemic shunt (PSS) procedures are more controversial as primary prophylactic modalities<sup>[29]</sup>: (1) NSBBs as propranolol (and to a lesser extent nadolol) may act by lowering the cardiac output and portal perfusion by both reduction of the cardiac output (β<sub>1</sub>-blockade) and reduction of the portal blood flow through splanchnic vasoconstriction (β<sub>2</sub>-blockade)<sup>[29]</sup>. Selective β<sub>1</sub>-blockers as atenolol and metoprolol are less effective and are not recommended for the primary prophylaxis of variceal hemorrhage<sup>[29, 30]</sup>. Propranolol significantly reduces the incidence of the first variceal hemorrhage from 15% to 25% in a median follow-up of 24 months. The effect is more evident in patients with medium or large sized varices<sup>[31]</sup>. The incidence of first variceal hemorrhage in patients with small varices, although low, is reduced with β-blockers from 7% to 2 % over a period of 2 years. In patients with small varices that are not at a high risk of hemorrhage, NSBBs have been effective in delaying variceal growth, and thereby preventing variceal hemorrhage<sup>[32]</sup>. NSBBs significantly lower mortality<sup>[33]</sup>. They are contraindicated in asthma, Raynaud's syndrome, heart failure, and heart block; and the dose is adjusted with renal dysfunction<sup>[30,34]</sup>; and should be used with caution in obstructive lung disease, diabetes mellitus or decompensated hepatic disease<sup>[30]</sup>. Intravenous use of NSBBs should be avoided with calcium channel blockers; as it may increase their effect[35]. Propranolol has a wide dosing range (0.6-8.0 mg/kg body weight divided into two to four doses per day) that has been required in children in order to observe a "therapeutic effect" [36,37]. Propranolol side effects may include hypoglycemia, systemic hypotension, nausea, vomiting, depression, weakness, bronchospasm, heart block as well as cutaneous reactions, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome, exfoliative dermatitis, erythema multiforme, and utricaria[30]. Bronchospasm, bradycardia and heart failure may also occur [37]. Carvedilol is a vasodilating  $\beta$ -blocker which combines non selective  $\beta$ -blockade with  $\alpha$ -1 receptor antagonism [38,39]. It is a potent acute portal hypotensive agent which does not

appear to compromise renal perfusion. However, patients with ascites are at greater risk of its systemic hypotensive action<sup>[39]</sup>. Carvedilol is more powerful than propranolol in decreasing hepatic venous pressure gradient<sup>[40]</sup>. The initial dose is 0.08 mg/kg, to be gradually increased over 2-3 months, based on response reaching a maximum of 0.5 mg/kg/24 h divided q 12 h<sup>[38]</sup>. Carvedilol may cause atrioventricular block, arrhythmias, bradycardia, or worsen asthma or heart failure and may cause excessive hypotension when used with other antihypertensives<sup>[38]</sup>. Evidence in adult patients shows that β-blockers may reduce the incidence of variceal hemorrhage and improve long-term survival. In patients without varices, treatment is not recommended given the lack of efficacy of NSBBs in preventing the development of varices and a higher rate of side effects<sup>[41]</sup>. A therapeutic effect is thought to result when the pulse rate is reduced by at least 25%. There is limited published experience with the use of this therapy in  $children^{[29]}$ ; (2) EVL during EGD is achieved by placing rubber bands around varices until their obliteration. EVL has been compared with NSBBs in several randomized trials. Two early metaanalysis showed that EVL is associated with a small but significantly lower incidence of first variceal hemorrhage without differences in mortality<sup>[42,43]</sup>. However, another recent meta-analysis showed that this effect may be biased and was associated with the duration of followup: the shorter the follow-up, the more positive the estimated effect of EVL<sup>[44]</sup> and that both therapies seemed equally effective. NSBBs have other advantages, such as prevention of bleeding from other portal hypertension sources (portal hypertensive gastropathy and gastric varices) and a possible reduction in the incidence of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis $^{[45]}$ . The role of a combination of a NSBB and EVL in the prevention of the first variceal hemorrhage is uncertain and cannot be currently recommended<sup>[29]</sup>; (3) Endoscopic sclerotherapy as a primary prophylaxis has yielded controversial results. Early studies showed promising results; whereas later studies showed no benefit in decreasing the first episode of variceal hemorrhage and/or mortality from variceal bleeding [46,47]. Therefore, sclerotherapy is not generally recommended to be used for the primary prevention of variceal hemorrhage. N.B: Nitrates [such as isosorbide mononitrate (ISMN)] are ineffective in preventing the first variceal hemorrhage<sup>[48,49]</sup>. The combination of an NSBB and ISMN is not recommended for primary prophylaxis<sup>[50,51]</sup>. The results of a randomized controlled trial comparing carvedilol with EVL in the primary prophylaxis of variceal hemorrhage showed that carvedilol was associated with a significantly lower rate of first variceal hemorrhage (9%) compared with EVL (21%) with a tendency for higher rate of adverse events with carvedilol [52]. Before the details of this study were published, carvedilol was not recommended<sup>[29]</sup>. However, after completing the study, the researchers concluded that carvedilol is effective in preventing the first variceal bleeding and recommended it as an option for primary prophylaxis in patients with high-risk esophageal varices<sup>[52]</sup>; (4) Surgical PSS procedures and radiological procedures in which a stent is placed via the internal jugular vein between the portal vein and the hepatic vein called percutaneous transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS), although very effective in preventing the first variceal hemorrhage, yet they end up with shunting blood away from the liver accompanied by more frequent HE and higher mortality<sup>[46]</sup>. They should not be used in the primary prevention of variceal hemorrhage [29].

### Management of acute variceal hemorrhage

Acute variceal hemorrhage is associated with a mortality rate of 15-20%. Management should be aimed at providing simultaneous and coordinated attention to effective resuscitation, prompt diagnosis, control of bleeding, and prevention of complications<sup>[9]</sup>.

General measures: The blood volume should be expanded to maintain a systolic blood pressure of 90-100 mm Hg and a heart rate below 100 beats per minute  $^{[53]}$ . Colloids are more effective than crystalloids and packed red blood cells in reaching optimal hemodynamics<sup>[54]</sup>. Transfusion goals are required to maintain a hemoglobin of around 8 grams/deciliter<sup>[49]</sup> as total blood restitution is associated with increases in portal pressure<sup>[55]</sup> and higher rates of re-bleeding and mortality<sup>[56]</sup>. Endotracheal intubation should be performed before EGD in patients with massive bleeding and decreased consciousness level<sup>[29]</sup>. One of the main complications associated with variceal hemorrhage is bacterial infection. Short-term antibiotic prophylaxis not only decreases the rate of bacterial infections, but also decreases variceal re-bleeding[57] and increases survival[58,59]. Therefore, antibiotic prophylaxis is considered a standard practice<sup>[60]</sup>. Recently, it is suggested to use intravenous ceftriaxone<sup>[61]</sup>. Transfusion of fresh frozen plasma and platelets can be considered in patients with significant coagulopathy and thrombocytopenia. A multicenter placebo-controlled trial of recombinant factor VIIa in cirrhotic patients with gastro-intestinal hemorrhage failed to show a beneficial effect over standard therapy[62]; therefore, recombinant factor VIIa is not routinely recommended. Once the patient is hemodynamiccally stable, EGD should be performed as soon as possible particularly in patients with more severe bleeding[29].

Specific measures to control acute hemorrhage and prevent early recurrence: The most accepted approach consists of combination of pharmacological and endoscopic therapy. Pharmacological therapy has the advantage of being generally easy-applicable, with a low rate of adverse events. It includes somatostatin or its analogs (octreotide or vapreotide)[29] and arginine vasopressin[63]. Somatostatin or its analogs can be initiated as soon as a diagnosis of variceal hemorrhage is suspected, before diagnostic EGD<sup>[29]</sup>. Continuous infusion of 1–5 μg/ kg/h of octreotide appears to be effective, but may need to be initiated by the administration of a bolus of 1 hour's worth of the infusion<sup>[36]</sup>. Optimal duration has not been well established, but considering that ~50 % of early recurrent hemorrhage occurs within the first 5 days<sup>[64]</sup>, continuing vasoactive drugs for 5 days seems rational<sup>[46]</sup>. Shorter duration is acceptable, particularly in patients with a low risk of re-bleeding (e.g., CTP class A)[29]. Randomized controlled trials comparing different pharmacological agents (somatostatin, octreotide, vapreotide, vasopressin and terlipressin), show no differences among them regarding control of hemorrhage and early re-bleeding, although vasopressin is associated with more adverse events<sup>[31]</sup> Arginine vasopressin is a naturally occurring peptide<sup>[63]</sup>. It acts as a vasoconstrictor through V1 receptors or an aquagenic agent allowing free water retention through V2 receptors in the kidney<sup>[53]</sup>. Splanchnic vasoconstriction thereby decreases the portal blood pressure[29]. It is given as a 0.33 U/kg bolus over 20 minutes followed by a continuous infusion of the same amount hourly or a continuous infusion of 0.2 U/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> surface area/min<sup>[65,66]</sup>. The continuous infusion may be increased up to three times its initial rate<sup>[32]</sup>. Vasopressin has a half-life of 30 minutes<sup>[36]</sup>.

Other therapies: Regarding endoscopic therapy, EVL is more effective than endoscopic variceal sclerotherapy with greater control of hemorrhage, less re-bleeding, lower rates of adverse events, but without differences in mortality<sup>[43,67]</sup>. Sclerotherapy is reserved for cases in which EVL cannot be performed<sup>[29]</sup>. Despite urgent endoscopic (with or without pharmacological) therapy, variceal bleeding can not be controlled or recurs early in approximately 10-20% of patients and other therapies have to be implemented<sup>[68,69]</sup>. Shunt

surgery and TIPS have proven clinical efficacy as salvage therapy in these patients<sup>[70,71]</sup>. Balloon tamponade is very effective in controlling bleeding temporarily with immediate control of hemorrhage in >80% of patients<sup>[72]</sup>. However, re-bleeding after the balloons are deflated is high and its use is associated with potentially lethal complications, such as aspiration, migration, and necrosis/perforation of the esophagus with mortality rates as high as 20%. Therefore, it should be restricted to patients with uncontrollable bleeding for whom a more definitive therapy (e.g. TIPS) is planned within 24 h of placement. Airway protection is strongly recommended when balloon tamponade is used. Linton tube which has a larger gastric balloon (and no esophageal balloon) is preferred for uncontrolled bleeding from fundal gastric varices. The use of self-expandable transient metallic stents to arrest uncontrollable acute variceal bleeding has been reported in a pilot study of 20 patients to be associated with bleeding cessation in all patients, and without complications after its removal 2 to 14 days later<sup>[73]</sup>. Compared with endoscopic variceal sclerotherapy or EVL, endoscopic variceal obturation with tissue adhesives, such as N-butylcyanoacrylate is more effective in treating acute fundal gastric variceal bleeding with better control of initial hemorrhage, as well as lower rates of re-bleeding<sup>[74,75]</sup>. In an uncontrolled pilot study, 2-octyl cyanoacrylate, an agent approved for skin closure in the United States, has been described to be effective in achieving initial homeostasis and in preventing re-bleeding from fundal varices<sup>[76]</sup>.

Therapies under investigation: TIPS is considered to be a salvage therapy in the control of acute hemorrhage which if used early (within 24 h of hemorrhage) is associated with significantly improved survival in high-risk patients, especially when acute variceal hemorrhage is not controlled with pharmacological and endoscopic means<sup>[77,78]</sup>. However, this cannot be recommended until more data are available<sup>[29]</sup>. No method has been shown to be more effective than TIPS in controlling bleeding from either esophageal or gastric variceal hemorrhage and preventing subsequent bleeding episodes. The two major drawbacks of the TIPS procedure are that its high technology character limits its availability, and that the shunt has a propensity to result in HE.

# Prevention of recurrent variceal hemorrhage (secondary prophylaxis)

Patients who survive an episode of acute variceal hemorrhage have a very high risk of re-bleeding (~60% within 1-2 years) with a mortality rate of 33%<sup>[31]</sup>. Therefore, it is essential to start these patients on therapy to reduce the risk of hemorrhage recurrence, before discharging them from the hospital. Patients who required shunt surgery/TIPS to control the acute episode do not require further preventive measures<sup>[29]</sup>. The most accepted approach is a combination of EVL plus pharmacological therapy, because NSBBs will protect against re-bleeding before variceal obliteration and will delay variceal recurrence. Several meta-analysis studies showed that this combination reduces variceal re-bleeding more than either therapy alone<sup>[79,80,81]</sup>. If a patient is not a candidate for EVL, one would try to maximize portal pressure reduction by giving combination pharmacological therapy (propranolol plus ISMN)<sup>[82]</sup>. Surgical PSS procedures are numerous, but they are beyond the scope of this review. PSS are very effective in preventing re-bleeding; however, their role has changed in the past few years because of the acceptance of liver transplantation and endoscopic hemostasis<sup>[83]</sup>. Development of physiologic shunts as the mesenterico-left portal vein (or meso-Rex) bypass and successful liver transplant has changed the paradigm of portal hypertension surgery<sup>[84]</sup>. Meso-Rex bypass has proven to be an effective method of resolving portal hypertension caused by PVO including thrombosis after living donor transplantation. This shunt is preferable to other surgical procedures because it eliminates portal hypertension and its sequelae by restoring normal portal flow to the liver<sup>[85]</sup>.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1 Pariente D, Franchi-Abella S. Paediatric chronic liver diseases: how to investigate and follow up? Role of imaging in the diagnosis of fibrosis. *Pediatr Radiol* 2010; **40**: 906–919
- McKiernan PJ, Sharif K, Gupte GL. The role of endoscopic ultrasound for evaluating portal hypertension in children being assessed for intestinal transplantation. *Tranplantation* 2008; 86: 1470–1473
- 3 Calès P, Zabotto B, Meskens C, Caucanas JP, Vinel JP, Desmorat H, Fermanian J, Pascal JP. Gastroesophageal endoscopic features in cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 1990; 98: 156-162
- 4 Johnson SE. Portal hypertension. Part I. Pathophysiology and clinical consequences. Compend Continuing Educ Pract Vet 1987; 9: 741–748
- 5 Buob S, Johnston AN, Webster CR. Portal hypertension: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. J Vet Intern Med 2011; 25: 169-186
- 6 Harmanci O, Bayraktar Y. Clinical characteristics of idiopathic portal hypertension. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13: 1906–1911
- 7 Hoffman G. Copper associated liver disease. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 2009; 39: 489–512
- 8 Langer DA, Shah VH. Nitric oxide and portal hypertension: interface of vasoreactivity and angiogenesis. J Hepatol 2006; 44: 209-216
- 9 Lee JS, Semela D, Iredale J, Shah VH. Sinusoidal remodeling and angiogenesis: a new function for the liver-specific pericyte? *Hepatology* 2007; 45: 817-825
- Fernández-Varo G, Ros J, Morales-Ruiz M, Cejudo-Martín P, Arroyo V, Solé M, Rivera F, Rodés J, Jiménez W. Nitric oxide synthase 3-dependent vascular remodeling and circulatory dysfunction in cirrhosis. Am J Pathol 2003; 162: 1985-1993
- 11 Kim MY, Baik SK, Lee SS. Hemodynamic alterations in cirrhosis and portal hypertension. *Korean J Hepatol* 2010; 16: 347-352
- 12 Leiva JG, Salgado JM, Estradas J, Torre A, Uribe M. Pathophysiology of ascites and dilutional hyponatremia: Contemporary use of aquaretic agents. *Ann Hepatol* 2007; 6: 214–221
- 13 Hou W, Sanyal AJ. Ascites: Diagnosis and management. Med Clin North Am 2009; 93: 801–817
- 14 Gustot T, Durand F, Lebrec D, Vincent JL, Moreau R. Severe sepsis in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2009; 50: 2022–2033
- 15 Lata J, Stiburek O, Kopacova M. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: A severe complication of liver cirrhosis. World J Gastroenterol 2009; 15: 5505–5510
- 16 Munoz SJ. The hepatorenal syndrome. Med Clin North Am 2008; 92: 813–837
- 17 McCormick PA, Donnelly C. Management of hepatorenal syndrome. *Pharmacol Ther* 2008; **119**: 1–6
- 18 Umeda N, Kamath PS. Hepatopulmonary syndrome and portopulmonary hypertension. *Hepatol Res* 2009; 39: 1020– 1022
- 19 Singh C, Sager JS. Pulmonary complications of cirrhosis. *Med Clin North Am* 2009; **93**: 871–883
- 20 Eroglu Y, Byrne WJ. Hepatic encephalopathy. Emerg Med Clin North Am 2009; 27: 401–414
- 21 Häussinger D, Schliess F. Pathogenetic mechanisms of hepatic encephalopathy. Gut 2008; 57: 1156–1165
- 22 Bosch J, Abraldes JG, Fernández M, García-Pagán JC. Hepatic endothelial dysfunction and abnormal angiogenesis: New targets in the treatment of portal hypertension. J Hepatol 2010; 53: 558–567
- 23 Merli M, Nicolini G, Angeloni S, Gentili F, Attili AF, Riggio O. The natural history of portal hypertensive gastropathy in patients with liver cirrhosis and mild portal hypertension. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004; **99**: 1959–1965
- 24 Groszmann RJ, Abraldes JG. Portal hypertension: from bed-

- side to bench. J Clin Gastroenterol 2005; 39 (Suppl 2): S125-130
- 25 Carbonell N, Pauwels A, Serfaty L, Fourdan O, Lévy VG, Poupon R. Improved survival after variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis over the past two decades. *Hepatology* 2004; 40: 652–659
- 26 Hobolth L, Krag A, Bendtsen F. The recent reduction in mortality from bleeding oesophageal varices is primarily observed from days 1 to 5. *Liver Int* 2010; 30: 455–462
- 27 Vizzutti F, Arena U, Romanelli RG, Rega L, Foschi M, Colagrande S, Petrarca A, Moscarella S, Belli G, Zignego AL, Marra F, Laffi G, Pinzani M. Liver stiffness measurements predicts severe portal hypertension in HCV-related cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2007; 45: 1290–1297
- 28 Groszmann RJ, Garcia-Tsao G, Bosch J, Grace ND, Burroughs AK, Planas R, Escorsell A, Garcia-Pagan JC, Patch D, Matloff DS, Gao H, Makuch R. Beta-blockers to prevent gastroesophageal varices in patients with cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 2005; 353: 2254 2261.
- 29 Garcia-Tsao G, Lim J and Members of the Veterans Affairs Hepatitis C Resource Center Program. Management and treatment of patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension: Recommendations from the department of veterans affairs hepatitis C resource center program and the national hepatitis C program. Am J Gastroenterol 2009; 104: 1802– 1829
- 30 Lee C, Custer JW and Rau RE. Formulary: Propranolol. In: Custer JW and Rau RE (eds). The Harriet Lane Handbook, 18th ed. Philadelphia: Mosby Elsevier; 2008: 963-964
- 31 D'Amico G, Pagliaro L, Bosch J. Pharmacological treatment of portal hypertension: an evidence-based approach. Semin Liv Dis 1999; 19: 475 – 505
- Merkel C, Marin R, Angeli P, Zanella P, Felder M, Bernardinello E, Cavallarin G, Bolognesi M, Donada C, Bellini B, Torboli P, Gatta A; Gruppo Triveneto per l'Ipertensione Portale. A placebo-controlled clinical trial of nadolol in the prophylaxis of growth of small esophageal varices in cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2004; 127: 476 – 484
- 33 Chen W, Nikolova D, Frederiksen SL, Gluud C. Beta-blockers reduce mortality in cirrhotic patients with oesophageal varices who have never bled (Cochrane review). *J Hepatol* 2004; **40** (Suppl 1): 67 (Abstract)
- 34 Gal P and Reed MD. Medications. Nadolol. In: Kliegman RM, Behrman RE, Jenson HB, and Stanton BF (eds). Nelson text book of pediatrics. 18th edition. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 2008: p: 2987
- 35 Shashidhar H, Langhans N, Grand RJ. Propranolol in prevention of portal hypertensive hemorrhage in children: a pilot study. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 1999; **29**:12–17
- 36 Shneider BL. Portal hypertension. In: Suchy FJ, Sokol RJ, Balistreri WF (eds). Liver disease in children. Third Edition. New York: Cambridge university press; 2007: 138-162
- 37 Ozsoylu S, Kocak N, Yuce A. Propranolol therapy for portal hypertension in children. *J Pediatr* 1985; **106**: 317–321
- 38 Gal P and Reed MD. Medications. Carvedilol. In: Kliegman RM, Behrman RE, Jenson HB, and Stanton BF (eds). Nelson text book of pediatrics. 18th edition. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 2008: p: 2964
- 39 Forrest EH, Bouchier IA, Hayes PC. Acute haemodynamic changes after oral carvedilol, a vasodilating beta-blocker, in patients with cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 1996; **25**: 909-915
- 40 Bañares R, Moitinho E, Matilla A, García-Pagán JC, Lampreave JL, Piera C, Abraldes JG, De Diego A, Albillos A, Bosch J. Randomized comparison of long-term carvedilol and propranolol administration in the treatment of portal hypertension in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: 1367-1373
- 41 Suchy FJ. Portal hypertension and varices. In: Kliegman RM, Behrman RE, Jenson HB, and Stanton BF (eds). Nelson text book of pediatrics. 18th edition. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 2008: 1709-1712

- 42 Khuroo MS, Khuroo NS, Farahat KL, Khuroo YS, Sofi AA, Dahab ST. Meta-analysis: endoscopic variceal ligation for primary prophylaxis of oesophageal variceal bleeding. *Ali*ment Pharmacol Ther 2005; 21: 347–361
- 43 Garcia-Pagan JC, Bosch J. Endoscopic band ligation in the treatment of portal hypertension. Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005; 2: 526–535
- 44 Gluud LL, Klingenberg S, Nikolova D, Gluud C. Banding ligation versus betablockers as primary prophylaxis in esophageal varices: systematic review of randomized trials. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102: 2842–2848
- 45 Turnes J, Garcia-Pagan JC, Abraldes JG, Hernandez-Guerra M, Dell'Era A, Bosch J. Pharmacological reduction of portal pressure and long-term risk of first variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2006; 101: 506–512
- 46 D'Amico G, Pagliaro L, Bosch J. The treatment of portal hypertension: a meta-analytic review. *Hepatology* 1995; 22: 332–354
- 47 Pagliaro L, D'Amico G, Sörensen TI, Lebrec D, Burroughs AK, Morabito A, Tiné F, Politi F, Traina M. Prevention of first bleeding in cirrhosis. A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials of non-surgical treatment. *Ann In tern Med* 1992; 117: 59–70
- 48 García-Pagán JC, Villanueva C, Vila MC, Albillos A, Genescà J, Ruiz-Del-Arbol L, Planas R, Rodriguez M, Calleja JL, González A, Solà R, Balanzó J, Bosch J; MOVE Group. Mononitrato Varices Esofágicas. Isosorbide mononitrate in the prevention of first variceal bleed in patients who cannot receive betablockers. *Gastroenterology* 2001; 121: 908–914
- 49 Borroni G, Salerno F, Cazzaniga M, Bissoli F, Lorenzano E, Maggi A, Visentin S, Panzeri A, de Franchis R. Nadolol is superior to isosorbide mononitrate for the prevention of the first variceal bleeding in cirrhotic patients with ascites. *J Hepatol* 2002; 37: 315–321
- 50 García-Pagán JC, Morillas R, Bañares R, Albillos A, Villanueva C, Vila C, Genescà J, Jimenez M, Rodriguez M, Calleja JL, Balanzó J, García-Durán F, Planas R, Bosch J; Spanish Variceal Bleeding Study Group. Propranolol plus placebo versus propranolol plus isosorbide-5-mononitrate in the prevention of a first variceal bleed: a double-blind RCT. Hepatology 2003; 37: 1260-1266
- D'Amico G, Pasta L, Politi F et al. Isosorbide mononitrate with nadolol compared to nadolol alone for prevention of the first bleeding in cirrhosis. A double-blind placebocontrolled randomized trial. Gastroenterol Int 2002; 15: 40–50
- 52 Tripathi D, Ferguson JW, Kochar N, Leithead JA, Therapondos G, McAvoy NC, Stanley AJ, Forrest EH, Hislop WS, Mills PR, Hayes PC. Randomized controlled trial of carvedilol versus variceal band ligation for the prevention of the first variceal bleed. *Hepatology* 2009; **50**: 825-833
- 53 de Franchis R. Evolving Consensus in Portal Hypertension Report of the Baveno IV Consensus Workshop on methodology of diagnosis and therapy in portal hypertension. *J Hepatol* 2005; 43: 167–176
- 54 Shoemaker WC. Relation of oxygen transport patterns to the pathophysiology and therapy of shock states. *Intensive Care Med* 1987; 13: 230–234
- Kravetz D, Sikuler E, Groszmann RJ. Splanchnic and systemic hemodynamics in portal hypertensive rats during hemorrhage and blood volume restitution. *Gastroenterology* 1986: 90: 1232–1240
- Castañeda B, Morales J, Lionetti R, Moitinho E, Andreu V, Pérez-Del-Pulgar S, Pizcueta P, Rodés J, Bosch J. Effects of blood volume restitution following a portal hypertensiverelated bleeding in anesthetized cirrhotic rats. *Hepatology* 2001; 33: 821–825
- 57 Hou MC, Lin HC, Liu TT, Kuo BI, Lee FY, Chang FY, Lee SD. Antibiotic prophylaxis after endoscopic therapy prevents rebleeding in acute variceal hemorrhage: a randomized trial. *Hepatology* 2004; 39: 746-753

- 58 Bernard B, Grangé JD, Khac EN, Amiot X, Opolon P, Poynard T. Antibiotic prophylaxis for the prevention of bacterial infections in cirrhotic patients with gastrointestinal bleeding: a meta-analysis. *Hepatology* 1999; **29**: 1655-1661
- 59 Soares-Weiser K, Brezis M, Tur-Kaspa R, Leibovici L. Antibiotic prophylaxis for cirrhotic patients with gastrointestinal bleeding. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002; (2): CD002907. Review. Update in: Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010; 9: CD002907
- 60 Rimola A, Garcia-Tsao G, Navasa M, Piddock LJ, Planas R, Bernard B, Inadomi JM. Diagnosis, treatment and prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis a consensus document. J Hepatol 2000; 32: 142–153
- 61 Fernández J, Ruiz del Arbol L, Gómez C, Durandez R, Serradilla R, Guarner C, Planas R, Arroyo V, Navasa M. Norfloxacin vs ceftriaxone in the prophylaxis of infections in patients with advanced cirrhosis and hemorrhage. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 131:1049-1056; quiz 1285
- 62 Bosch J, Thabut D, Bendtsen F, D'Amico G, Albillos A, González Abraldes J, Fabricius S, Erhardtsen E, de Franchis R; European Study Group on rFVIIa in UGI Haemorrhage. Recombinant factor VIIa for upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis: a randomized, double-blind trial. Gastroenterology 2004; 127: 1123-1130
- 63 Lo R, Austin A, Freeman J. Vasopressin in liver diseaseshould we turn on or off? Curr Clin Pharmacol 2008; 3: 156-165
- 64 D'Amico G, de Franchis R. Upper digestive bleeding in cirrhosis. Post-therapeutic outcome and prognostic indicators. Hepatology 2003; 38: 599–612
- 65 Hill ID, Bowie MD. Endoscopic sclerotherapy for control of bleeding varices in children. Am J Gastroenterol 1991; 86: 472 –476
- 66 Mowat AP. Liver disorders in childhood. 2nd ed. London: Buttersworth, 1987
- 67 Villanueva C, Piqueras M, Aracil C, Gómez C, López-Balaguer JM, Gonzalez B, Gallego A, Torras X, Soriano G, Sáinz S, Benito S, Balanzó J. A randomized controlled trial comparing ligation and sclerotherapy as emergency endoscopic treatment added to somatostatin in acute variceal bleeding. J Hepatol 2006; 45: 560-567
- 68 Moitinho E, Escorsell A, Bandi JC, Salmerón JM, García-Pagán JC, Rodés J, Bosch J. Prognostic value of early measurements of portal pressure in acute variceal bleeding. Gastroenterology 1999; 117: 626-631
- 69 Abraldes JG, Villanueva C, Bañares R, Aracil C, Catalina MV, Garci A-Pagán JC, Bosch J; Spanish Cooperative Group for Portal Hypertension and Variceal Bleeding. Hepatic venous pressure gradient and prognosis in patients with acute variceal bleeding treated with pharmacologic and endoscopic therapy. J Hepatol 2008; 48: 229-236
- 70 Sanyal AJ, Freedman AM, Luketic VA, Purdum PP, Shiffman ML, Tisnado J, Cole PE. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts for patients with active variceal hemorrhage unresponsive to sclerotherapy. *Gastroenterology* 1996; 111: 138-146
- 71 McCormick PA, Dick R, Panagou EB, Chin JKT, Greenslade L, McIntyre N, Burroughs AK. Emergency transjugular intrahepatic portasystemic stent shunting as salvage treatment for uncontrolled variceal bleeding. Br J Surg 1994; 81: 1324-1327
- 72 Avgerinos A, Armonis A. Balloon tamponade technique and efficacy in variceal haemorrhage. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 1994; 207: 11–16

- 73 Hubmann R, Bodlaj G, Czompo M, Benkö L, Pichler P, Al-Kathib S, Kiblböck P, Shamyieh A, Biesenbach G. The use of self-expanding metal stents to treat acute esophageal variceal bleeding. *Endoscopy* 2006; 38: 896-901
- 74 Sarin SK, Jain AK, Jain M, Gupta R. A randomized controlled trial of cyanoacrylate versus alcohol injection in patients with isolated fundic varices. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002; 97: 1010-1015
- 75 Lo GH, Lai KH, Cheng JS, Chen MH, Chiang HT. A prospective, randomized trial of butyl cyanoacrylate injection versus band ligation in the management of bleeding gastric varices. *Hepatology* 2001; 33: 1060-1064
- 76 Rengstorff DS, Binmoeller KF. A pilot study of 2-octyl cyanoacrylate injection for treatment of gastric fundal varices in humans. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004; 59: 553–558
- 77 Monescillo A, Martínez-Lagares F, Ruiz-del-Arbol L, Sierra A, Guevara C, Jiménez E, Marrero JM, Buceta E, Sánchez J, Castellot A, Peñate M, Cruz A, Peña E. Influence of portal hypertension and its early decompression by TIPS placement on the outcome of variceal bleeding. *Hepatology* 2004; 40: 793-801
- 78 Carey W. Portal hypertension: diagnosis and management with particular reference to variceal hemorrhage. *J Dig Dis* 2011; **12**: 25-32
- 79 Garcia-Tsao G, Sanyal AJ, Grace ND, Carey W; Practice Guidelines Committee of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Prevention and management of gastroesophageal varices and variceal hemorrhage in cirrhosis. Hepatology 2007; 46: 922–938
- 80 Garcia-Tsao G, Sanyal AJ, Grace ND, Carey WD; Practice Guidelines Committee of American Association for Study of Liver Diseases; Practice Parameters Committee of American College of Gastroenterology. Prevention and management of gastroesophageal varices and variceal hemorrhage in cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102: 2086-2102
- 81 Gonzalez R, Zamora J, Gomez-Camarero J, Molinero LM, Bañares R, Albillos A. Meta-analysis: Combination endoscopic and drug therapy to prevent variceal rebleeding in cirrhosis. *Ann Intern Med* 2008; **149**: 109-122
- 82 Gournay J, Masliah C, Martin T, Perrin D, Galmiche JP. Isosorbide mononitrate and propranolol compared with propranolol alone for the prevention of variceal rebleeding. *Hepatology* 2000; 31: 1239-1245
- Botha JF, Campos BD, Grant WJ, Horslen SP, Sudan DL, Shaw BW Jr, Langnas AN. Portosystemic shunts in children: a 15-year experience. J Am Coll Surg 2004; 199: 179-185
- 84 Scholz S, Sharif K. Surgery for portal hypertension in children. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2011; 13: 279-285
- 85 Bambini DA, Superina R, Almond PS, tington PF, Alonso E. Experience with the Rex shunt (mesenterico-left portal bypass) in children with extrahepatic portal hypertension. *J Pediatr Surg* 2000; 35: 13-18; discussion 18-19

Peer reviewers: Jae Young Jang, Associate Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, Institute for Digestive Disease Research, 59, Daesagwan-ro, Yongsan-gu, Seoul 140-743, Korea; Nasser hamed Mousa, Associate Professor, Tropical Medicne and Hepatology, Mansoura University, Mansoura City, 35516/20, Egypt; Huai-Zhi Wang, Professor of Surgery, Institute of Hepatopancreatobiliary Surgery of PLA, Southwest Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing 400038, China.